

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/766,730	LINS, CLAUDIO L.K.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) MONZER R. CHORBAJI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JEREMY R. BRIDGE. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2009

**Time:** 10 A.M.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 9, 13, 18, and 22.*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner of record telephoned Mr. Bridge to address the decision of Board of Patent Appeals mailed on 9/8/09. Mr. Bridge suggested canceling claim 13 and adding the subject matter of canceled claim 13 into claim 16. See examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)